

10/532,907
PAP 4/18/07

IN THE SPECIFICATION

With reference to the published international application, i.e., WO 2004/046881, please amend/replace the below identified paragraph(s) as indicated, strikeout or double bracketed portions deleted, underlined items added:

APR
4/18/07

⁴
►Page 5, the first partial paragraph at line 10, please insert --of-- after "RenderMan in terms" so as to now read as follows:

RenderMan® is the name of a software program created and owned by Pixar that allows computers to render pseudo life-like digital images. RenderMan, a point-sampling global illumination rendering system and subject of U.S. Pat. No. 5,239,624, is the only software package to ever receive an Oscar® award from the Academy of Motion Picture Arts and Sciences. RenderMan clearly represents the current state of the art in pseudo-realistic point sampling software. On the other end of the spectrum, game consoles such as Sony PlayStation® or Microsoft X-Box® clearly do not exhibit the quality of realism found in RenderMan, but these hardware-based local illumination gaming appliances have a tremendous advantage over RenderMan in terms of speed. The realistic frames of animation produced by RenderMan take hours, even days, to compute, whereas the arcade-style graphics of gaming appliances are rendered at a rate of several frames per second.

►Page 11 and continuing on Page 12, the descriptions with respect to FIGS. 2 and 3 are to be switched, so as to now read as follows:

10/532,907
AGA 4/18/97

With reference to FIG. 3, like the artist via the viewing position [[60]] 22, the system 40 of FIG. 2 simulates the process of looking through a rectangular array of pixels into the scene from the artists viewpoint. Current methodology uses a ray 62 that starts at the viewing position 60 and shoots through a location within pixel 50. The intersection of the ray with the pixel is called a point sample. The color of each point sample of pixel 50 is computed by intersecting this ray 62 with objects 64 in the scene. If several points of intersection exist between a ray 62 and objects in the scene, the visible intersection point 66 is the intersection closest to the origin of the viewing position 60 of the ray 62. The color computed at the visible point of intersection 66 is assigned to the point sample. If a ray does not hit any objects in the scene, the point sample is simply assigned a default "background" color. The final color of the pixel is then determined by filtering a neighborhood of point samples.

AGA 4/18/97
20
>Page 21, line 7, please delete the second comma appearing in that line, so as to now read as follows:

Returning back again to the notion of point-sampling, and with reference now to FIGS. 6(a)-(f), FIG. 6(a) represents a single pixel containing four scene objects, with FIGS. 6(b)-(f) generally showing a point-sampling algorithm at work in furtherance of assigning the pixel a single color. As should be readily apparent, and generally intuitive, the color of the pixel might be some kind

10/532,907
JMA 4/18/07

of amalgamation (i.e., integrated value) of the colors of the scene objects. In FIG. 6(b), only a single point sample is used, and it does not intersect with any of the objects in the scene; so the value of the pixel is assigned the default background color. In FIG. 6(c), four point samples are used, but only one object in the scene is intersected; so the value of the pixel is assigned a color that is 75% background color and 25% the color of the intersected scene object. In FIGS. 6(d), 6(e) and 6(f), additional point samples are used to compute better approximations (i.e., more accurate representations) for the color of the pixel. Even with the increased number of point samples in FIG. 6(e), two of the scene objects are not intersected (i.e., spatial aliasing: missing objects), and only in FIG. 6(f) does a computed color value of the pixel actually contain color contributions from all four scene objects. In general, point sampling[[],] cannot guarantee that all scene objects contained within a pixel will be intersected, regardless of how many samples are used.

JMA
4/18/07

>Page 24, line 21, please replace "William Walster, Global Optimization (publ. pending)" with --Eldon Hansen and William Walster, Global Optimization Using Interval Analysis, Second Edition--, so as to now read as follows:

The present invention, in all its embodiments, abandons point arithmetic and point-sampling techniques altogether, and instead turns to an interval analysis approach. First invented and published in 1966 by Ramon Moore, interval arithmetic is a

10/5/32, 907
APR 4/18/07

generalization of the familiar point arithmetic. After a brief period of enthusiastic response from the technical community, interval arithmetic and interval analysis (i.e., the application of interval arithmetic to problem domains) soon lost its status as a popular computing paradigm because of its tendency to produce pessimistic results. Modern advances in interval computing have resolved many of these problems, and interval researchers are continuing to make advancements, see for example William Walster, Global Optimization (publ. pending) Eldon Hansen and William Walster, Global Optimization Using Interval Analysis, Second Edition; L. Jaulin et al., Applied Interval Analysis; and, Miguel Sainz, Modal Intervals.

APR
4/18/07

>Page 28, line 10 please delete "the" after "character," so as to now read as follows:

An output of the interval consistency solvers is indicated as pixel data (i.e., the task of the interval consistency solvers is to quantitatively assign a quality or character to a pixel). The pixel data output is ultimately used in image synthesis or reconstruction, vis-a-vis forwarding the quantitatively assigned pixel quality or character [[the]] to a display in furtherance of defining (i.e., forming) a 2-D array of pixels. For the parameterized system input of FIG. 11, a 2-D array of pixels, associated with a defined set of intervals, is illustrated.

10/532,907
PMA 4/18/07

>Page 13, delete the first full ¶ thereon beginning "FIG. 20..." as follows:

~~FIG. 20 is a depiction of importance filtering in the context of the importance function of FIG. 10.~~

PMA
4/18/07

>Page 14, in the first partial ¶:

at line 20 thereof, please insert reference numeral --42-- after "database"; and,

at line 24 thereof, please delete reference numerals "32" and "30", as follows:

This grid technique is the real world analogy to the computer graphic process that forms the basis of modern day digital graphics. FIG. 2 shows the overall process of how a computer graphics system 40 turns a three dimensional digital representation of a scene 42 into multiple two-dimensional digital images 44. Just as the artist uses the cells 24 and 32 (FIG. 1) to divide the representation of an entire scene into several smaller and more manageable components, the digital graphics system 40 divides an image 44 to be displayed into thousands of pixels in order to digitally display two-dimensional representations of three dimensional scenes. A typical computer generated image used by the modern motion picture industry, for example, is formed of a rectangular array of pixels 1,920 wide and 1,080 high. In a conventional digital animation process, for example, a modeler defines geometric models for each of a series of objects in a scene. A graphic artist adds light, color and texture features to

10/532,907
RPA 4/18/97

plurality of interval consistency solvers. Operatively and essentially linked to the interval consistency solvers is a system input, exemplified in FIG. 10 by a series of generic parametric equations, each function having two or more variables, for example the arguments t , u , and v as shown, and as representatively illustrated in FIG. 11, wherein the "system" is a sphere, the x-y-z functions being parameterized in t , u , v . It is to be understood that the system need not be limited to parametric expressions, which have the greatest utility and are most challenging/problematic, other geometric primitives, or alternate system expressions are similarly contemplated and amenable to the subject methodology and process as is to be gleaned from the discussion to this point. For example, the system can similarly render strictly mathematical formulae selectively input by a user, such as those describing polygons, and bezier surfaces, the later being the singular focus of RenderMan.

RPA
4/18/97

28
>Page 29, in first partial ¶ thereon, at line 8 thereof, please replace "FIG. 12" with --FIG. 13-- as follows:

The solver, more particularly the most preferred components thereof, namely SCREEN, PIXEL, COVERAGE, DEPTH, and IMPORTANCE, are shown in relation to the input (i.e., dim and system), callbacks (i.e., shader), and output (i.e., pixel data and display). The interrelationships between the individual most preferred elements of constituents of the solver, and the general temporal hierarchy

10/5/32, 907
MAY 4/18/07

The coverage solver, as detailed in FIG. 16, essentially replicates the iterations of SCREEN, based upon a user defined limit epsilon (eps). COVERAGE, as the name suggests, seeks to delimit, via the retention of contributing t , u , v aspects based upon the user specified chop area "eps," those portions (i.e., areas) of the object surface within the pixel subunit (again, see FIGS. 19(b)-19(f) (again, see FIGS. 19(b)-19(f))). Upon ascertaining the values associated with the x - y space or area, they are added or compiled to provide or define the total coverage of the object surface (i.e., a mapping of the entire x - y space). At this point, analysis, more particularly processing, in x - y space is complete. The next procedural task is a consideration of depth (i.e., assessment of $z(t, u, v)$ of the parametric system with a fixed or set x and y).

Page 31
>Page 32, in the first partial ¶ thereon at line 4 thereof (i.e., in the last ¶ at page 31 beginning "The depth solver..."), please replace "(z depth)" with --z depth, i.e., set to an interval at an infinite distance from the viewer-- as follows:

The depth solver, as detailed in FIG. 17, is essentially doing the job of FIG. 17(a). More particularly, DEPTH initially ascertains where in the z dimension, ultimately from the image plane (see FIG. 4 camera space), does the object surface, heretofore defined in x , y , t , u , v aspects, first appear or reside (i.e., in which depth cell), and thereafter step into space, via iterative cells, until the x , y , t , u , v object surface is no longer present in a cell (i.e., cell X of FIG. 17(a)). In furtherance thereof, the depth

10/532,907
MJA 4/18/07

variable, more accurately, depth function, is initialized for all depth space, namely set to the infinite interval ~~(z-depth)~~ z depth, i.e., set to an interval at an infinite distance from the viewer. Thereafter, t , u , v , contraction begins in the depth field (z_0). Subsequently, there is a trivial accept/reject query as to whether there is in fact a depth component of the x - y parameterization, with searching commencing thereafter (z search). For each depth cell, the importance solver (i.e., the t , u , v , chopper wherein a set inversion is executed in t , u , v so as to contract same) is called upon, and it is necessary to next assess if the shader was invoked. If the shader is invoked (i.e., a first visible root is identified), the output of the shader are accumulated into the importance sums and the depth parsing continues in furtherance of accounting for all z components of the x - y object surface, if not, steps, on a cell by cell basis are "walked off." Although the parsing or chopping of z space has been described as a serial or loop type progression, its is certainly amenable to recursive splitting, as the case of the x - y space.

MJA
4/18/07

³² >Page 35, in the first partial ¶ thereon beginning at line 4 thereof, please delete ", and in the present setting, illustrated in FIG. 20" as follows:

The importance solver, as detailed in FIG. 18, when called, essentially completes a set inversion in t , u , v , that is to say, for the smallest x , y , z (i.e., each specific z cell for, or in, which an object surface element x - y resides), t , u , v are to be